# Bimetallic Systems. Part 12. ${ }^{1}$ Mixed Rhodium(I)-Platinum(II) Acetylide Complexes containing Bridging $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$. Crystal Structures of $\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and of $\left[\mathrm{CIPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2^{-}}\right.$ ( $\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}$ )Rh(CO)]PF ${ }_{6}{ }^{\dagger}$ 
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#### Abstract

Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe})_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-P)_{2}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ gave $\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\right.$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}$ ) $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{Cl}$ readily converted into the corresponding $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt (1b) the crystal structure of which was determined. Other complexes of the type $\left[(R C \equiv C) P t(\mu-d p p m)_{2}(\sigma, \eta-C \equiv C R) R h(C O)\right] C l$ were made similarly; with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, p$-tolyl, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$, or $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$. The complexes are fluxional with the low-temperature limiting ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum showing non-equivalent pseudoequatorial and pseudo-axial $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ coupled to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ not. The fluxional process corresponds to interchange of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and interchange of terminal and bridging $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}$. When heated in toluene for $3 \mathrm{~h},\left[(\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{R}=p$-tolyl or Ph$)$ was converted into $\left[(R C \equiv C) P t(\mu-d p p m)_{2}(\sigma, \eta-C \equiv C R) R h C l\right]$. With $\mathrm{CO},\left[\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-d p p m)_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C}_{\equiv} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right) \mathrm{RhCl}\right]$ rapidly gave back $\left[\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{Cl}$. Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR})_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{P})_{2}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)_{4}\right]\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}=\right.$ cyclo-octene $)$ also gave $\left[(R C \equiv C) P t(\mu-d p p m)_{2}(\sigma, \eta-C \equiv C R) R h C I\right](R=p$-tolyl or Ph$)$ but the complexes were not isolated pure. Treatment of $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ caused rapid and complete displacement of $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$, giving [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{+}$; similarly treatment of $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right],\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{Cul}\right]$, or $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\right.$ dppm $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Au}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ gave $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{+}$. Treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ gave $\left[\mathrm{CIPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{+}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$, or $p$-tolyl) isolated as $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$or $\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}{ }^{-}$salts. These complexes could also be made in 'one-pot' syntheses, viz. successive treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ followed by treatment with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$, without isolation of the intermediate platinum-silver complex. The crystal structures of $\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (1b) as the dichloromethane solvate and of $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (5a) were determined. Crystals of (1b) are orthorhombic, space group Pbca, $a=19.212(7), b=27.364(6), c=21.468(5)$ $\AA$, and $Z=8$; those of (5a) are orthorhombic, space group Pn2, $a, a=43.39(1), b=25.178(9)$, $c=10.164(6) \AA$, and $Z=8$. Final $R$ factors were 0.088 for 4500 and 0.058 for 6320 observed reflections, respectively. In each complex cation the two metal centres [ $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot \mathrm{C}$. Rh 3.099(2) for (1b) 3.066 (2) and 3.086 (2) $\AA$ for (5a)] are bridged by a methylacetylide group $\sigma$-bonded to Pt and $\pi$-bonded in an unsymmetrical side-on fashion to Rh [mean Rh-CPt 2.24(2), mean Rh-CMe $2.44(2) \AA$ ], giving rise to an A-frame structure.


In previous papers in this series we have described systematic syntheses of heterobimetallic complexes generated from platinum(II) acetylides containing unidentate $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (dppm) of type $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR})_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{P})_{2}\right](\mathrm{R}=$ a variety of alkyl or aryl groups). The heterobimetallic complexes were of type [ $\mathrm{RC} \equiv$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \operatorname{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathbf{M X}_{n}\right](\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mo}$, or W ; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{a}$ variety of ligands). ${ }^{2-4}$ We now report on the synthesis and properties of some platinum-rhodium bis- $\mu$-dppm complexes containing acetylide ligands. Preliminary accounts of some of this work have been published. ${ }^{5,6}$

[^0]
## Results and Discussion

Treatment of a benzene solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe})_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-P)_{2}\right]$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (1 atom Rh per Pt atom) caused rapid evolution of CO and gave a bright orange microcrystalline solid. We assigned the ionic structure $\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \operatorname{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{Cl}$ to this complex on evidence of elemental analysis, electrical conductivity, and the i.r. and n.m.r. spectra (see below). ${ }^{5}$ However, we did not establish the nature of the bridging acetylide group until the crystal structure of the corresponding $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ salt was determined (see below): this showed it to be (1a) and the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ salt (1b) was readily made by treating the chloride salt with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. Details of the preparation of complexes (1a) and (1b) are in the Experimental section and elemental analytical data are in Table 1. The i.r. spectrum of (1a) (Nujol mull) showed a strong band at 1980 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O})$ and two weak bands, at 2118 and $2040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assigned to $v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})$; the lower-frequency band is presumably due to the bridging acetylide. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum (Figure 1) showed deceptively simple triplet patterns

Table 1. Microanalysis, melting point, conductivity, and i.r. data

| Complex | M.p. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Analysis (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \Lambda^{c} / \Omega^{-1} \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \operatorname{mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | I.r. $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H |  | Halogen |  | $v(C \equiv C)$ | $v(\mathrm{CO})$ |
| (1a) | 115-120 | 56.7 (56.65) | 4.1 (4.2) |  | 3.0 (2.95) | n.d. | 2118,2040 | 1980 |
| (1b) $\cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | n.d. | 50.55 (50.75) | 3.75 (3.8) |  | n.d. |  | n.d. | n.d. |
| (1c) | 180-182 | 61.05 (60.9) | 4.35 (4.3) |  | 2.7 (2.6) | 23 | 2108 | 1972 |
| (1d) | 175-176 | 60.3 (60.4) | 4.15 (4.1) |  | 2.55 (2.65) | $78^{e}$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| (1e) | $<139$ | 51.4 (51.95) | 4.7 (4.5) |  | 2.75 (2.55) | 19 | 2060 | 1970 |
| (1f) | 190-192 | 57.9 (58.1) | 4.45 (4.3) |  | 3.2 (2.8) | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 2110,2060 \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | 1957 |
| (1g) | 288-289 | 56.45 (56.4) | 4.0 (4.0) |  | 7.4 (7.75) | n.d. |  |  |
| (4a) | 230-235 | 61.6 (61.3) | 4.5 (4.4) |  | 2.75 (2.65) | $6^{e}$ | 2110,1900 |  |
| (5a) | n.d. | 49.35 (49.35) | 3.6 (3.6) | Cl | 3.0 (2.7) | n.d. | 2072 | 1988 |
|  |  |  |  | F | 8.95 (8.7) |  |  |  |
| (5b) | n.d. | 51.1 (51.5) | 3.6 (3.6) | Cl | 2.65 (2.6) | n.d. | 2040 | 1985 |
|  |  |  |  | F | 8.7 (8.3) |  |  |  |
| (5c) $\cdot 0.25 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | n.d. | 50.85 (51.25) | 3.85 (3.7) | Cl | 3.7 (3.7) | n.d. | 2042 | 1982 |
| (5e) $\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | n.d. | 45.95 (46.1) | 3.55 (3.45) | Cl | 12.05 (12.35) | n.d. | 2065 | 1978 |
| (5f) | n.d. | 50.2 (50.6) | 3.4 (3.6) | Cl | 7.9 (7.5) | n.d. | 2060 | 1982 |

${ }^{a}$ With decomposition, n.d. $=$ not determined. ${ }^{b}$ Calculated values in parentheses. ${ }^{c} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}{ }^{-3}$ solutions in nitrobenzene at $+21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, unless stated otherwise. ${ }^{4}$ Nujol mulls. Bands due to $v(C \equiv C)$ were all weak or very weak in intensity. Bands due to $v(C O)$ were of high intensity; n.d. $=$ not determined. ${ }^{e}$ In acetone.


(2)

(3)

|  | R | X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1a) | Me | Cl |
| (1b) | Me | $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ |
| (1c) | $\rho$-tolyl | Cl |
| (1d) | Ph | Cl |
| (1e) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | Cl |
| (1f) | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Cl |
| (1g) | $\rho$-tolyl | $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ |

for the $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ spin system with satellites due to coupling by ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ (data in Table 2).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ n.m.r. pattern showed that the molecule was fluxional. At $+20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the resonances for both $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ were broad singlets. When the solution was cooled these resonances split and sharpened until, at or below $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the signals corresponded to the static structure (1a) (data in Table 3). One of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ resonances showed satellites due to coupling with ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{4} J\left(\mathrm{PtC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, but the other (at $\delta=0.8$ p.p.m.) showed no resolved coupling to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$; it was, however, broad ( $w_{\frac{1}{2}} c a .8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) possibly due to coupling to both ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ and ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}$ and we assign it to the bridging acetylide (Table 3). The $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ resonance had a central AB pattern with the hydrogen absorbing at low frequency being strongly coupled to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt},{ }^{3} J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{PtPCH} 2\right)=73 \mathrm{~Hz}$, whilst the other showed no coupling. We assign the ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$-coupled hydrogen to $\mathrm{H}_{\text {equatorial }}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$, see structure (2), and the uncoupled hydrogen to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{axial}}$ $\left(H_{a}\right)$. We have discussed this phenomenon previously for
platinum-tungsten complexes of the type $[(\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu$ -$\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]^{4}$ and explained it in terms of torsion angles, as in the well known effect of torsion angles on ${ }^{3} J(H-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-H)$ in organic compounds. We interpret the effect of temperature on the collapse of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ resonances and the merging of the two $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ resonances in terms of the fluxional process $\mathbf{( 2 )} \rightleftharpoons(3)$. The corresponding $p$-tolyl- (1c), phenyl(1d), 2-phenylethyl- (1e), and propenyl-acetylide (1f) complexes were made and characterized in a similar fashion to (1a): see Experimental section and Tables 1-3. They showed a similar fluxional behaviour, as evidenced by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectra at different temperatures (Table 3). The ${ }^{195} \mathbf{P t}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of complex (1c) is shown in Figure 2 and shows a rhodium-platinum coupling, $J(\mathrm{PtRh})$, of 63 Hz .

When the orange complex (1c) was heated in toluene for 3 h it was converted into a red-brown product, which we formulate as the neutral complex (4a). This formulation is based on: (i) elemental analysis (Table 1); (ii) the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum, which was of the $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}$ type with satellites due to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ coupling; (iii) the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum which, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, showed two sharp resonances for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups and an AB pattern for the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ groups (Table 3): this indicated that there was no fluxionality in the complex suggesting a stronger acetylide-rhodium interaction than in (1c); (iv) the i.r. spectrum which showed a band at $2110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assigned to terminal $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$, a

Table 2. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}$ N.m.r. data ${ }^{a}$

| Complex | $\delta\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{PtP}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | ${ }^{1} J\left(\mathrm{RhP}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ | $N^{\text {b }}$ | Solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1a) | +0.3 | 2450 | $+21.1$ | 115 | 42 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1c) | +0.9 | 2404 | $+20.4$ | 112 | 40 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1d) | +0.9 | 2390 | $+20.40$ | 112 | 41 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1e) | +0.5 | 2446 | $+20.5$ | 112 | 39 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1f) | +0.9 | 2402 | +20.4 | 112 | 42 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (4a) | -3.3 | 2758 | +10.3 | 134 | 17 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (4b) | -3.4 | 2736 | +10.5 | 132 | 19 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (5a) | +0.7 | 2382 | 19.9 | 114 | 34 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (5b) | +1.1 | 2358 | 19.5 | 112 | 32 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (5c) | +1.3 | 2358 | 19.9 | 110 | 32 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra ( 40.25 MHz ) measured at $c a .+21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in p.p.m. ( $\pm 0.1$ ) to high frequency of $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hz $( \pm 3) . \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is bonded to platinum and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}$ to rhodium. ${ }^{b} N=\left.\right|^{2} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)+{ }^{4} J\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}\right) \mid$.


Figure 1. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ N.m.r. spectrum ( 40.25 MHz ) of $[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (1a) in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $c a .21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
weak band at $1900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ tentatively assigned to bridging $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$, and a weak band at $294 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ assigned to $v(\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl})$; there was no band due to $v(\mathrm{CO})$ of the starting material; and $(v)$ the complex was non-conducting in acetone solution. When $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right.\right.$ -$\left.\mathrm{Me}-p)_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-P)_{2}\right]$ was added to a benzene solution of the labile cyclo-octene complex $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)_{4}\right]$ the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the resulting dark red solution showed the presence of the platinum-rhodium complex (4a): the phenylacetylide analogue (4b) was shown to form similarly. However, in both cases, the solutions contained other homobinuclear and mononuclear species and (4a) or (4b) were not prepared pure by this method. The deep red complex (4a) is air sensitive,


Figure 2. ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \quad$ N.m.r. spectrum of $\left[\left(\rho-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ (1c) at 19.175 MHz

(4a) $R=p$-tolyl
(4b) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$
particularly in solution, and it reacts rapidly with CO in benzene solution to give the orange cationic complex (1c). When a benzene solution of (4a) was treated with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ it rapidly gave a mixture of two platinum-rhodium bimetallic complexes, containing $\operatorname{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{Rh}$ moieties [ ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. evidence] which we have not characterized.

In a ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. experiment, the cationic $p$-tolylacetylide complex (1c) was heated in a small amount of toluenedeuteriotoluene at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.4 \mathrm{~g}\right.$ complex in $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ solvent). After 3 h , species were formed which had very complicated ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectra. They might be clusters but after prolonged heating ( 14 h ) the platinum-rhodium complex (4a) was essentially the only product in solution. Hence, what appears at first sight to be a simple nucleophilic displacement of CO by Cl is more complicated than this.

Table 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ N.m.r. ${ }^{a}$ data

| Complex | $\theta_{\mathrm{c}} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | ${ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{PtHe}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{2} J\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | $\delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | Solvent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1a) | -35 | 3.81 | 73 | 4.4 | 12.5 | 1.54, ${ }^{\text {c }} 0.80^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1c) | -50 | 3.67 | 76 | 4.4 | 12.0 | 2.15, 2.11 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (1d) | -20 | 3.61 | 75 | 4.5 | 12.5 |  | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (1e) ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | -50 | 3.62 | 74 | 4.03 | 11.5 |  | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (1f) ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | -50 | 3.65 | 77 |  | lved | 1.34 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (4a) | +21 | 2.85 | 69 | 3.76 | 13.1 | 2.20, 2.17 | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ |
| (5a) | +21 | 3.51 | ca. 89 | 4.29 | 13.1 | $0.96{ }^{9}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (5b) | +21 | 3.68 | ca. 87 | 4.28 | 13.4 | - | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| (5c) | +21 | 3.46 | ca. 88 | 4.28 | 13.2 | 2.21 | $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Spectra measured at 100 MHz . Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in p.p.m. ( $\pm 0.01$ ) to high frequency of SiMe ${ }_{4}$ and coupling constants $(J)$ in $\mathrm{Hz}( \pm 0.1)$. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{In}$ each case ${ }^{3} J\left(\mathrm{PtH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ was not resolved but was less than 8 Hz . ${ }^{{ }^{4}}{ }^{4} J\left(\mathrm{PtCH}_{3}\right)=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (terminal $\left.\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$. ${ }^{d}$ Broad signal, $w_{ \pm} 8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, possibly due to both $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling. ${ }^{e} \delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ ca. 2.2 p.p.m., broad. ${ }^{f} \delta\left(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 4.65$ and $4.39 .{ }^{g}{ }^{4} J\left(\mathrm{PtCH}_{3}\right)=16 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J\left(\mathrm{RhCH}{ }_{3}\right)=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$.


Synthesis or Formation of Platinum-Rhodium Complexes by Transmetallation.--Treatment of the previously described and readily made platinum-mercury complex $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\right.$ dppm $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]^{2}$ with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ caused immediate and complete conversion into $[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]^{+}$, as evidenced by the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the solution. We also isolated $[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ in $71 \%$ overall yields in a 'one-pot' synthesis from $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, viz. treatment of [ $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-P P^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ in dichloromethane \{which, as shown previously, caused complete conversion into $\left.\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]\right\},{ }^{2}$ followed by addition of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ and isolation (see Experimental section). The yield, as evidenced by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy on the reaction solution, was virtually $100 \%$ and the lower yield of pure material isolated in the preparative experiment $(71 \%)$ is mainly a reflection of the small scale on which the reaction was carried out.

We have similarly prepared $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})]^{+}$by displacing other $d^{10}$ metals viz. $\mathrm{Ag}^{\mathbf{1}}$, $\mathrm{Cu}^{1}$, or $\mathrm{Au}^{1}$ from their known complexes. Treatment of the previously described and readily made platinum-silver complex $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right]^{2}$ with the equivalent amount of [ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$, in dichloromethane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, caused immediate precipitation of silver chloride and the formation of the desired platinum-rhodium complex (1d), characterized by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy: no other phosphorus-containing product was produced, i.e the conversion appeared to be essentially quantitative, although the product was not isolated. Similar
treatment of $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{CuI}\right]$ or $\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{Au}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ in dichloromethane solution with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ ] caused complete displacement of the $d^{10}$ metal with formation of the platinum-rhodium complex (1d).

We have shown previously that treatment of $[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dppm}-$ $\left.\left.P P^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}-\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$, using a $1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio of the silver and platinum complexes, gave platinum-silver monoacetylide complexes $\left[(\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right]$ in excellent yields. ${ }^{3}$ It was clearly of interest to see if one could displace the silver by rhodium( I ) in such complexes by transmetallation.

When an acetone suspension of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{AgCl}]$ was treated with the equivalent amount of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ there was immediate formation of an orange solution. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{4} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ N.m.r. studies established the formation of a platinum-rhodium complex cation, $\quad\left[\operatorname{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})]^{+}$, but the silver stayed in solution, almost certainly as the counter ion $\left[\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}\right]^{-}$. Addition of an excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ caused immediate precipitation of AgCl and the salt $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \quad$ was readily isolated. The crystal structure has been determined and shown to be (5a) (see below). Characterizing data include elemental analyses (C, H, Cl, and F) (Table 1) and the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum (data in Table 2), typical of a complex containing a $\operatorname{Pt}(\mu \text {-dppm })_{2} \mathrm{Rh}$ moiety. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum ( 100 MHz , data in Table 3) showed that the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ protons are non-equivalent at room temperature, in contrast with (1b); one of them $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ shows coupling to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}(89 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and even to ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}(1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ whilst the other $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ does not show detectable coupling to ${ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}$ or ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}$. The same couplings were observed at 360 MHz at which frequency the AB quartet for the $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ protons was better separated. In the i.r. spectrum there were bands at $2072 v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 1988 v(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}), 842 \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})$, and 320 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} v(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl})$.

Similar treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right]$ with [ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$, followed by $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, gave the corresponding phenylacetylide complex (5b) ( $83 \%$ yield); details in the Experimental section, characterizing data in Tables 1-3. The $p$ tolylacetylide analogue (5c) was prepared similarly.
We found that treatment of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{RC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ph}$, or $p$-tolyl) with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ gave salts in high yield which had identical ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. parameters to the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salts (5a)-(5c) and appeared to have $\left[\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}\right]^{-}$as the counter ion. We formulate them therefore as (5d)-(5f). Preparative details are in the Experimental section and elemental analytical data ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and Cl only) in Table 1. Moreover, treatment of these salts in acetone with an excess of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ converted them into the corresponding $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ salts (5a)-(5c) in good (ca. $70 \%$ ) yield, with precipitation of silver chloride.

Table 4. Selected interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) for complexes (1b) and (5a) with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses

|  | (1b) | (5a) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Molecule A | Molecule B |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot \mathrm{PRh}$ | $3.099(2)$ | 3.066(2) | 3.086(2) |
| $P(1) \cdots P(2)$ | 3.10(1) | 3.08(1) | 3.09(1) |
| $P(3) \cdots P(4)$ | 3.09 (1) | 3.06(1) | 3.10(1) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.296(6)$ | $2.354(7)$ | $2.355(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.317(6)$ | $2.273(6)$ | $2.266(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.01(2) | 1.95(2) | 1.94(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.16(2) | 1.21(3) | 1.23(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Me}(1)$ | 1.58(3) | 1.48(4) | 1.51(4) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.96(2) | - | - |
| C(6)-C(7) | 1.13 (3) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Me}(2)$ | 1.53(5) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | - | 2.379(7) | 2.327(7) |
| Rh-P(2) | 2.322(6) | $2.327(7)$ | 2.325 (7) |
| Rh-P(4) | $2.301(6)$ | 2.313 (7) | 2.316(7) |
| Rh-C(4) | 2.22(2) | 2.22(2) | 2.29(2) |
| Rh-C(5) | 2.40(2) | 2.46(3) | 2.46(2) |
| Rh-C(3) | 1.76(2) | 1.79(4) | 1.80(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}$ | 1.19(2) | 1.17(4) | 1.13(4) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 1.85(2)-1.88(2) | 1.80(2)-1.86(2) | 1.84(2)-1.87(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1.79(1)-1.86(1) | $1.79(2)-1.84(2)$ | 1.77(1)-1.85(2) |
| P-F | 1.43(4)-1.60(4) | 1.54(2)--1.58(2) | 1.51(2)-1.59(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | 1.50(5) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 1.69(6) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 177.4(2) | 177.2(2) | 173.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 88.1(6) | 87.8(6) | 84.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 90.5(6) | 89.4(6) | 89.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 172.7(8) | -- | - |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 90.6(6) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 90.5(6) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | -- | 175.7(6) | 171.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | - | 89.5(2) | 91.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | - | 93.4(2) | 93.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{Rh} \cdot . . \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Cl}$ | - | 137.2(2) | 139.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Rh} \cdot . \cdot \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 141.4(6) | -- | -- |
| Rh...Pt-P(1) | 90.9(2) | 88.1(1) | 88.6(1) |
| Rh... Pt-P(3) | 89.7(1) | 89.8(2) | 89.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{Rh} \cdot . . \mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 45.8(5) | 46.1(6) | 47.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 178(2) | 177(2) | 176(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Rh}$ | 94.0(7) | 94.5(9) | 93.2(8) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Me}(1)$ | 172(2) | 166(3) | 165(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Rh}$ | 67(1) | 64(2) | 67(1) |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 175(2) | - | - |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Me}(2)$ | 177(3) | - | - |
| $\mathbf{P}(2)-\mathbf{R h}-\mathbf{P}(4)$ | 175.0(2) | 169.7(2) | 168.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 93.2(7) | 92(1) | 93(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 90.4(7) | 93(1) | 94(1) |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot \mathrm{PRh}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 89.1(1) | 92.2(2) | 91.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot . \cdot \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 89.9(1) | 90.0(2) | 90.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot . \cdot \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 40.3(5) | 39.4(5) | 39.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot . \cdot \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 69.0(5) | 68.6(6) | 68.6(5) |
| $\mathrm{Pt} \cdot . . \mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 144.0(7) | 138(1) | 134(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 88.1(5) | 87.4(6) | 88.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 88.0(5) | 87.8(6) | 86.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 88.2(6) | 83.2(6) | 85.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 86.9(6) | 88.2(6) | 84.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 175.5(8) | 177(1) | 172(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 147.0(8) | 154(1) | 158(1) |
| $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}$ | 177(2) | 178(4) | 176(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 112(1) | 115(1) | 112(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 112(1) | 113(1) | 114(1) |
| $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 112.1(7)-114.5(7) | 112.2(8)-113.9(8) | 112.0(7)-114.7(7) |
| F-P-F (trans) | 161(2)-177(2) | 176(1)-178(1) | 171(1)-178(1) |
| F-P-F (cis) | 78(2)-110(2) | 85(1)-94(1) | 84(1)-95(1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | 110(4) | - | - |



Figure 3. Molecular structure of the $\left[(\mathrm{MeC}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta\right.$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})]^{+}$cation of (1b) showing the principal atomic numbering. Atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size


Figure 4. Molecular structure of the $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}-\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})]^{+}$cation of ( 5 a ) showing the principal atomic numbering. Atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size and only cation $A$ is depicted

Crystal Structures of $\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe})-\right.$ $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathrm{lb})$ and $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]-$ $\mathrm{PF}_{6}(5 a)$.-The salt (1b) crystallized with one cation, one anion, and one molecule of dichloromethane in the asymmetric unit. The asymmetric unit in crystals of (5a) comprises two virtually identical cations and two anions and thus the parameters are given in duplicate. There are no unusually short contacts between the cations, anions, or solvent molecule in either structure. The geometry of the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$anions is as expected (see Table 4) but less well defined in the case of (1b), where the fluorine atoms undergo very high thermal vibrations, suggesting that they may be involved in some kind of disorder or that refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters would have been desirable. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent molecule in (1b) also exhibits large thermal vibrations but is adequately defined (see Table 4).

The heterobimetallic cations are depicted in Figures 3 and 4 while Table 4 summarizes the results of the $X$-ray diffraction

(6)

(7)
measurements. In each structure the metal centres are linked by two trans-dppm bridges to give an eight-membered $\mathrm{PtP}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Rh}$ ring in the 'boat' conformation. The $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ distance (ca. 3.1 $\AA$ ) is substantially longer than that generally accepted for a $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Rh}$ bond $(2.6-2.8 \AA)^{7-9}$ and no significant metal-metal interaction is proposed. Instead, the square-planar co-ordination about the rhodium atom is completed by interaction with the methylacetylide group which is $\sigma$-bonded to Pt and which bridges the metals to form an unsymmetrical side-on $\pi$ bond to Rh. The square-planar co-ordination geometry about each metal centre therefore results in an 'A-frame' type of structure (see Figures 3 and 4), with the methylene linkages of the dppm groups folded towards the apex of the 'A-frame,' as is commonly observed in these systems. ${ }^{4.10}$ This allows the bulky phenyl rings to avoid unfa vourable contacts with the bridging entity.

The acetylenic carbon to rhodium distances [ $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}(5) 2.24$ and $2.44 \AA$ respectively] are significantly longer than $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}$ distances found in mononuclear or binuclear rhodium-acetylene compounds (usually $2.02-2.13 \AA$ ) ${ }^{11.12}$ and indicate, at most, weak rhodium-acetylene interaction. However, our observation of magnetic coupling of the methylgroup protons to ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}\right\}$ spectrum of complex (5a) (see above) is evidence for some bonding interaction between the rhodium and the acetylene. The errors are such that one cannot draw definite conclusions about the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ bond lengths, although the values of 1.16 (2) and 1.13(3) $\AA$ for (1b) do not seem to be greater than the accepted values for uncoordinated (free) acetylenes. ${ }^{13.14}$ The deviations from linearity of the $\eta^{2}$-bridging $\mathrm{PtC} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}$ groups towards cis-bent configurations are small (Table 4) but nevertheless evident for (5a), e.g. the values of $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Me}(1)$ for (5a) are 14(3) and $15(2)^{\circ}$, but barely evident for (1b) [8(2) ${ }^{\circ}$. Thus the evidence from the crystal structure determinations is that the rhodium-acetylene interactions are weak.

The present structures, containing an unsymmetrical $\mu-\eta^{2}$ bridge ( $\sigma, \eta$ bridge) [as in (6)], provide an interesting contrast to the (unsymmetrically) $\mu$ - $\sigma$-bridged structure (7) recently reported by us in the $X$-ray analysis of $\left[\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu\right.$ dppm $\left.)_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right) \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right],{ }^{4}$ where the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ vector of the bridging alkynyl group is perpendicular to the $\mathrm{PtP}_{4} \mathrm{~W}$ plane, i.e. with no $\pi$ interaction of the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ acetylenic linkage with the tungsten atom. The factors controlling the particular mode of bonding in each case, viz. $\sigma, \eta$ or $\mu-\eta^{2}$ (6) vs. $\mu-\sigma$ (7), are not readily apparent, but as the steric requirements around the metals are similar we presume electronic factors play a role but cannot suggest what these are. Interestingly, the metal-metal distances in both types of complex are very similar, as are the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ distances. It is also not obvious, in the molecular structure of complex (5a), why the acetylide group, rather than the chloride, bridges the metal centres. We note a significant difference in the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}$ bond lengths in the structure of (5a) but are unable to offer an explanation. The remaining parameters, summarized in Table 4, are unremarkable.

## Experimental

General methods were the same as those described in previous papers from this laboratory. ${ }^{15}$

Table 5. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for complex (1b) with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pt | $0.22593(4)$ | $0.51009(3)$ | 0.598 59(4) | C(44) | 0.2080 (8) | 0.676 6(6) | 0.2873 (7) |
| R h | 0.233 08(7) | $0.50642(5)$ | 0.454 45(7) | C(45) | 0.173 6(8) | 0.680 6(6) | 0.3443 (7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.272 3(3) | 0.587 4(2) | 0.598 9(3) | C(46) | 0.1960 (8) | 0.653 3(6) | 0.3953 (7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.2831 (3) | 0.5837 (2) | 0.454 8(3) | C(51) | $0.1107(7)$ | $0.4279(6)$ | 0.660 4(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 0.174 1(3) | 0.433 6(2) | 0.599 2(2) | C(52) | 0.055 3(7) | $0.3951(6)$ | 0.656 4(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 0.174 2(3) | 0.433 2(2) | 0.455 2(2) | C(53) | 0.0073 (7) | 0.3919 (6) | 0.7050 (6) |
| C(1) | 0.257 3(11) | 0.6207 (8) | 0.523 7(10) | C(54) | 0.0147 (7) | 0.421 4(6) | 0.757 5(6) |
| C(2) | 0.1227 (10) | 0.4220 (8) | 0.526 4(9) | C(55) | 0.0701 (7) | 0.454 2(6) | $0.7615(6)$ |
| C(3) | 0.2837 (11) | $0.4865(9)$ | 0.3907 (10) | C(56) | 0.1181 (7) | 0.4575 (6) | $0.7129(6)$ |
| O | 0.318 7(9) | 0.471 6(6) | 0.349 3(8) | C(61) | 0.2278 (7) | 0.378 9(5) | 0.6063 (7) |
| C(4) | 0.162 2(9) | 0.5317 (7) | 0.529 8(9) | C(62) | 0.298 6(7) | 0.3831 (5) | $0.6196(7)$ |
| C(5) | $0.1265(11)$ | 0.543 4(9) | $0.4888(10)$ | C(63) | 0.339 3(7) | 0.341 2(5) | $0.6257(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Me}(1)$ | 0.074 7(15) | $0.5657(12)$ | 0.438 8(14) | C(64) | $0.3092(7)$ | $0.2951(5)$ | 0.618 6(7) |
| C(6) | 0.2801 (10) | 0.4931 (8) | 0.6731 (9) | C(65) | 0.238 4(7) | 0.2909 (5) | 0.6054 (7) |
| C(7) | $0.3069(14)$ | $0.4817(10)$ | 0.717 9(13) | C(66) | 0.1977 (7) | 0.332 9(5) | 0.599 2(7) |
| $\mathrm{Me}(2)$ | 0.347 0(26) | 0.4670 (18) | 0.776 4(22) | C(71) | $0.2262(7)$ | $0.3765(5)$ | $0.4460(7)$ |
| C(11) | 0.225 4(8) | 0.623 7(6) | 0.654 8(7) | C (72) | 0.2978 (7) | $0.3768(5)$ | 0.4568 (7) |
| C(12) | 0.1673 (8) | $0.6519(6)$ | 0.639 4(7) | C(73) | 0.3358 8(7) | $0.3335(5)$ | $0.4527(7)$ |
| C(13) | 0.1317 (8) | 0.677 4(6) | 0.6858 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(74)$ | 0.3021 (7) | 0.289 8(5) | 0.437 8(7) |
| C(14) | $0.1542(8)$ | $0.6747(6)$ | 0.747 5(7) | C(75) | $0.2305(7)$ | 0.289 6(5) | $0.4270(7)$ |
| C(15) | 0.2123 (8) | 0.646 6(6) | 0.762 8(7) | C(76) | 0.192 6(7) | 0.332 9(5) | 0.431 1(7) |
| C(16) | 0.247 9(8) | 0.621 1(6) | $0.7165(7)$ | C(81) | 0.104 9(7) | 0.4311 (6) | 0.3950 0(6) |
| C(21) | 0.363 2(6) | 0.597 0(7) | $0.6135(7)$ | C(82) | 0.0350 (7) | 0.4398 (6) | 0.408 7(6) |
| C(22) | 0.409 9(6) | 0.5580 (7) | 0.616 4(7) | C(83) | $-0.0149(7)$ | $0.4369(6)$ | 0.361 6(6) |
| C(23) | $0.4808(6)$ | 0.566 9(7) | 0.6251 (7) | C(84) | 0.0051 (7) | 0.425 4(6) | $0.3009(6)$ |
| C(24) | 0.5049 (6) | 0.614 8(7) | $0.6309(7)$ | C(85) | 0.0750 (7) | 0.4168 (6) | 0.287 2(6) |
| C(25) | 0.458 2(6) | 0.653 8(7) | $0.6280(7)$ | C(86) | 0.1249 (7) | 0.419 6(6) | 0.334 3(6) |
| C(26) | 0.387 4(6) | 0.644 9(7) | 0.619 3(7) | $\mathrm{P}(5)$ | $0.4847(4)$ | 0.2131 (3) | 0.5001 14) |
| C(31) | 0.377 5(5) | 0.588 9(6) | 0.4509 (7) | F(1) | 0.5559 (17) | 0.2410 (12) | 0.4971 (13) |
| C(32) | 0.418 8(5) | 0.5471 (6) | 0.4549 97) | F(2) | $0.4108(12)$ | 0.187 7(9) | 0.5029 (10) |
| C(33) | $0.4911(5)$ | $0.5512(6)$ | 0.453 9(7) | F(3) | 0.460 2(12) | 0.2529 (9) | 0.459 1(10) |
| C(34) | 0.522 2(5) | 0.5971 (6) | 0.4490 (7) | F(4) | $0.5111(21)$ | $0.1836(17)$ | 0.5546 (19) |
| C(35) | 0.480 9(5) | 0.639 0(6) | 0.4451 (7) | F(5) | 0.526 6(18) | 0.179 9(14) | $0.4652(14)$ |
| C(36) | $0.4085(5)$ | 0.634 9(6) | $0.4460(7)$ | F(6) | 0.459 8(20) | 0.249 3(15) | 0.554 0(19) |
| C(41) | 0.2530 (8) | 0.6220 (6) | 0.389 4(7) | C(8) | 0.0620 (33) | 0.242 0(21) | 0.3079 (24) |
| C(42) | 0.2874 (8) | 0.6180 (6) | 0.332 4(7) | $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.0011(14)$ | 0.2321 (9) | 0.266 2(16) |
| C(43) | 0.2649 (8) | 0.645 3(6) | 0.2814 (7) | $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $0.1285(17)$ | $0.2651(11)$ | 0.2659 (14) |

Preparations. $-\left[(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \operatorname{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}\right.$ $(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{Cl}$ (1d). The complex $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.195$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of trans $-\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-\right.$ $\left.P)_{2}\right](0.460 \mathrm{~g}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deoxygenated benzene $\left(25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Immediately, there was effervescence and an orange solution formed; after 15 s an orange solid began to separate. The mixture was allowed to stand for 3 h and the required product filtered off, washed with benzene, and dried in vacuo. Yield 0.462 $\mathrm{g}, 89 \%$. Complexes (1a), (1c), (1e), and (1f) were prepared similarly in yields of $92,96,88$, and $95 \%$ respectively.
$\left[\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-\right.$
$\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})] \mathrm{Cl}\left(1 \mathbf{1 e )}\right.$ using trans- $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. A solution of trans- $\left[\mathrm{RhCl}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{AsPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(6$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ was added to a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{dppm}-P)_{2}\right](0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in warm benzene $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The solution immediately turned red and, after cooling the solution to $c a .20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the required product separated and was recovered as before. Yield $0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$. Complex (1f) was made similarly in $77 \%$ yield.
$\left[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(\mathbf{1 b}) . \mathrm{A}$ solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was filtered into a solution of complex (1a) $(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The solvent was allowed to evaporate slowly to give the required product as deep orange rhombs. Yield 0.080 $\mathrm{g}, 92 \%$. Complex ( $\mathbf{1 g}$ ) was made similarly in $91 \%$ yield.
$\left[\left(p-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right)\right.$ -
$\mathrm{RhCl}]$ (4a). A suspension of complex (1c) $(0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol})$
in toluene ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was heated under reflux in argon for 3 h , to give a dark red, air-sensitive, solution. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue triturated with $n$ pentane. This gave the required product as dark red microcrystals. Yield $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$.

Transmetallations.-Complex (1d) in a 'one-pot' synthesis via the mercury-platinum complex. Phenylacetylene ( $32 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.29$ mmol ) was added to a slurry of mercury(II) acetate ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. A solution of $[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dppm}-$ $\left.\left.P P^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was then added. The resultant solution was put aside for 10 min before a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](18.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( $c a .1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. The resultant red solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue recrystallized from dichloromethane-benzene to give the required product (yield $93 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ), identified by its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum.
$\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (5b) from the platinum-silver complex. A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (39.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added at room temperature with stirring to a suspension of $[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right](254 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). A deep yellow-orange solution formed. After 10 min a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(330 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ was added. An immediate white precipitate of silver chloride formed (the flask was protected from light). After a further 30 min the AgCl was

Table 6. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for complex (5a) with e.s.d.s in parentheses

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Molecule A |  |  |  | Molec |  |  |  |
| Pt | 0.201 25(2) | 0* | 0.139 92(9) | Pt | -0.042 36(2) | $-0.42496(5)$ | $0.11062(8)$ |
| Rh | 0.263 04(4) | -0.018 84(8) | $0.27856(17)$ | Rh | 0.019 61(4) | -0.400 84(9) | 0.247 20(19) |
| Cl | 0.154 9(2) | 0.047 3(2) | 0.1827 (7) | Cl | -0.088 7(1) | -0.468 1(2) | 0.153 4(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 0.222 6(1) | 0.077 5(2) | 0.047 6(6) | $P(1)$ | -0.061 7(1) | -0.344 6(2) | 0.1958 (6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.2859 (1) | 0.0527 (2) | $0.1713(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 0.0003 (1) | -0.322 3(2) | $0.3348(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 0.1829 (1) | -0.076 9(2) | 0.2268 (6) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $-0.0207(1)$ | -0.496 7(2) | $0.0128(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 0.2450 (1) | -0.099 1(2) | 0.357 7(6) | $\mathrm{P}(4)$ | 0.043 4(1) | -0.467 7(2) | 0.1279 (6) |
| C(1) | 0.264 6(3) | 0.0747 (8) | $0.0263(18)$ | C(1) | -0.0317(4) | -0.294 1(8) | $0.2367(19)$ |
| C(2) | 0.212 5(4) | -0.125 5(9) | 0.257 9(22) | C(2) | 0.021 1(3) | -0.487 1(7) | -0.0179(18) |
| C(3) | 0.2807 (7) | $-0.0020(16)$ | 0.4319 (36) | C(3) | $0.0365(6)$ | $-0.4215(13)$ | 0.4009 (29) |
| O | 0.292 4(4) | 0.007 7(9) | 0.533 2(24) | O | $0.0489(6)$ | $-0.4343(11)$ | 0.4929 (27) |
| C(4) | 0.2391 (4) | -0.036 8(7) | $0.0912(20)$ | C(4) | $-0.0070(3)$ | -0.382 5(7) | 0.058 O(19) |
| C(5) | 0.2628 (6) | -0.057 9(9) | 0.056 3(27) | C(5) | 0.015 6(4) | -0.354 9(9) | $0.0337(24)$ |
| Me | 0.2868 (7) | -0.0912(14) | -0.006 2(36) | Me | 0.038 8(6) | -0.3177(11) | -0.028 3(27) |
| C(11) | 0.209 2(2) | 0.088 6(6) | -0.119 6(12) | C(11) | -0.085 1(2) | -0.3118(6) | 0.078 2(12) |
| C(12) | 0.177 5(2) | $0.0915(6)$ | -0.140 4(12) | C(12) | -0.108 6(2) | -0.340 6(6) | 0.018 0(12) |
| C(13) | 0.1660 (2) | $0.0997(6)$ | -0.267 0(12) | C(13) | -0.127 7(2) | -0.316 2(6) | -0.074 3(12) |
| C(14) | 0.1863 (2) | 0.104 9(6) | -0.372 8(12) | C(14) | -0.123 3(2) | -0.262 9(6) | -0.106 3(12) |
| C(15) | $0.2180(2)$ | 0.1020 (6) | -0.352 0(12) | C(15) | -0.099 7(2) | -0.234 0(6) | -0.046 1(12) |
| C(16) | 0.229 5(2) | 0.093 8(6) | -0.225 4(12) | C(16) | -0.080 6(2) | -0.258 4(6) | 0.0461 (12) |
| C(21) | 0.2159 (2) | 0.139 6(4) | 0.132 1(13) | C(21) | -0.085 3(2) | -0.346 3(6) | 0.345 5(12) |
| C(22) | 0.2081 (2) | 0.139 4(4) | 0.265 2(13) | C(22) | -0.101 4(2) | -0.300 4(6) | 0.3800 (12) |
| C(23) | 0.204 3(2) | 0.187 3(4) | 0.332 3(13) | $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -0.118 8(2) | -0.299 3(6) | 0.495 2(12) |
| C(24) | 0.208 3(2) | 0.2354 (4) | 0.266 3(13) | C(24) | -0.120 2(2) | -0.344 0(6) | 0.575 9(12) |
| C(25) | $0.2161(2)$ | 0.2356 (4) | 0.1331 (13) | C(25) | -0.104 1(2) | -0.389 9(6) | $0.5414(12)$ |
| C(26) | 0.219 9(2) | 0.187 7(4) | 0.066 0(13) | C(26) | -0.086 6(2) | -0.391 0(6) | 0.426 2(12) |
| C(31) | $0.3218(2)$ | $0.0319(6)$ | 0.099 6(19) | C(31) | 0.028 6(3) | -0.267 6(6) | 0.3200 (18) |
| C(32) | 0.339 5(2) | -0.001 0(6) | 0.179 8(19) | C(32) | 0.020 6(3) | -0.2149(6) | 0.295 2(18) |
| C(33) | 0.367 5(2) | -0.021 2(6) | 0.133 7(19) | C(33) | 0.043 5(3) | -0.176 2(6) | 0.2850 (18) |
| C(34) | 0.377 7(2) | -0.008 4(6) | 0.007 4(19) | C(34) | 0.074 4(3) | -0.190 2(6) | 0.2996 (18) |
| C(35) | 0.359 9(2) | 0.024 6(6) | -0.072 8(19) | C(35) | 0.082 4(3) | -0.2429(6) | 0.324 4(18) |
| C(36) | $0.3319(2)$ | $0.0447(6)$ | -0.026 7(19) | C(36) | 0.059 5(3) | -0.281 6(6) | 0.334 6(18) |
| C(41) | 0.293 9(3) | 0.1140 (6) | 0.255 8(16) | C(41) | -0.013 7(2) | -0.3187(6) | 0.503 5(12) |
| C(42) | 0.278 8(3) | $0.1250(6)$ | 0.373 8(16) | C(42) | -0.0183(2) | $-0.3657(6)$ | 0.573 2(12) |
| C(43) | 0.2840 (3) | 0.1731 (6) | 0.438 4(16) | C(43) | -0.030 4(2) | -0.364 1(6) | 0.700 2(12) |
| C(44) | 0.304 4(3) | 0.2101 (6) | 0.384 9(16) | C(44) | -0.038 0(2) | -0.315 5(6) | $0.7575(12)$ |
| C(45) | 0.319 6(3) | 0.1990 (6) | 0.2670 (16) | C(45) | -0.033 4(2) | -0.268 4(6) | $0.6877(12)$ |
| C(46) | 0.314 3(3) | $0.1510(6)$ | 0.202 4(16) | C(46) | -0.021 2(2) | -0.270 0(6) | $0.5607(12)$ |
| C(51) | $0.1567(2)$ | -0.110 5(6) | 0.110 6(13) | C(51) | -0.035 4(2) | -0.507 7(6) | $-0.1537(12)$ |
| C(52) | 0.134 4(2) | -0.080 3(6) | 0.045 8(13) | C(52) | -0.067 3(2) | -0.508 9(6) | -0.169 0(12) |
| C(53) | $0.1127(2)$ | -0.105 1(6) | -0.034 6(13) | C(53) | -0.080 1(2) | -0.517 3(6) | -0.293 2(12) |
| C(54) | 0.113 3(2) | -0.160 2(6) | $-0.0503(13)$ | C(54) | -0.061 0(2) | -0.524 4(6) | $-0.4021(12)$ |
| C(55) | 0.1356 (2) | -0.190 4(6) | 0.014 4(13) | C(55) | -0.029 0(2) | -0.523 2(6) | $-0.3867(12)$ |
| C(56) | 0.157 3(2) | -0.165 6(6) | 0.094 9(13) | C(56) | -0.016 2(2) | -0.5148(6) | -0.262 5(12) |
| C(61) | 0.1609 (2) | -0.072 4(6) | 0.378 6(12) | C(61) | -0.025 0(2) | -0.559 1(4) | 0.098 2(14) |
| C(62) | $0.1465(2)$ | -0.1183(6) | $0.4247(12)$ | C(62) | -0.037 2(2) | -0.562 6(4) | 0.224 8(14) |
| C(63) | 0.1304 (2) | -0.1173(6) | $0.5435(12)$ | C(63) | -0.039 2(2) | -0.6118(4) | 0.287 4(14) |
| C(64) | $0.1287(2)$ | -0.070 4(6) | 0.616 2(12) | C(64) | -0.028 9(2) | -0.657 5(4) | 0.223 4(14) |
| C(65) | 0.1431 (2) | -0.024 5(6) | $0.5700(12)$ | C(65) | -0.016 7(2) | -0.654 O(4) | 0.0967 (14) |
| C(66) | 0.159 2(2) | -0.025 5(6) | $0.4512(12)$ | C(66) | -0.014 7(2) | -0.604 8(4) | 0.0341 (14) |
| C(71) | $0.2761(2)$ | -0.148 1(6) | $0.3341(17)$ | C(71) | 0.078 O(2) | -0.439 3(6) | 0.055 1(18) |
| C(72) | $0.2705(2)$ | -0.1973(6) | 0.275 6(17) | C(72) | 0.098 3(2) | -0.413 9(6) | 0.1410 (18) |
| C(73) | 0.294 6(2) | -0.233 2(6) | 0.257 6(17) | C(73) | 0.1251 (2) | -0.390 3(6) | 0.0927 (18) |
| C(74) | 0.324 3(2) | -0.219 9(6) | 0.2980 (17) | C(74) | $0.1317(2)$ | -0.392 2(6) | $-0.0415(18)$ |
| C(75) | 0.3300 (2) | -0.1707(6) | 0.356 4(17) | $\mathrm{C}(75)$ | 0.1114 (2) | -0.4177(6) | -0.127 5(18) |
| C(76) | 0.3059 (2) | -0.134 8(6) | 0.374 5(17) | C(76) | 0.084 6(2) | -0.4413(6) | -0.079 2(18) |
| C(81) | 0.2313 (2) | -0.105 1(6) | 0.523 6(12) | C(81) | 0.0567 (3) | -0.5273(6) | 0.2071 (18) |
| C(82) | 0.225 2(2) | -0.060 1(6) | 0.599 4(12) | C(82) | 0.0391 (3) | -0.5474(6) | $0.3107(18)$ |
| C(83) | $0.2148(2)$ | -0.065 7(6) | 0.728 5(12) | C(83) | 0.047 6(3) | -0.595 0(6) | $0.3711(18)$ |
| C(84) | 0.210 5(2) | -0.116 2(6) | 0.7819 (12) | C(84) | 0.073 6(3) | -0.622 5(6) | 0.327 9(18) |
| C(85) | $0.2167(2)$ | -0.1612(6) | $0.7061(12)$ | C(85) | 0.0911 13) | -0.602 4(6) | 0.224 3(18) |
| C(86) | 0.2271 (2) | -0.155 6(6) | 0.5770 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(86)$ | 0.0827 (3) | -0.554 9(6) | 0.163 9(18) |
| $\mathrm{P}(5)$ | $0.1859(2)$ | -0.294 3(2) | 0.319 9(7) | $\mathrm{P}(5)$ | 0.437 5(2) | -0.126 3(2) | 0.2513 (7) |
| F(1) | $0.1774(4)$ | -0.2372(8) | 0.373 5(20) | F(1) | $0.4069(4)$ | -0.1411(8) | 0.312 0(20) |
| F(2) | 0.1941 (4) | -0.349 4(8) | 0.263 6(20) | F(2) | 0.469 4(4) | -0.1117(9) | 0.1977 (23) |
| F(3) | $0.1615(3)$ | $-0.3207(7)$ | 0.413 9(18) | F(3) | 0.4411 (3) | -0.180 4(7) | 0.174 3(19) |
| F(4) | 0.2095 (3) | -0.263 4(7) | 0.2293 (18) | F(4) | 0.4387 (4) | $-0.0708(9)$ | 0.314 5(24) |
| F(5) | 0.210 6(3) | -0.3016 (7) | 0.429 2(18) | F(5) | 0.455 4(4) | -0.151 5(8) | 0.373 0(20) |
| F(6) | $0.1614(3)$ | -0.289 2(7) | 0.206 6(18) | F(6) | 0.4209 (3) | -0.101 0(6) | 0.132 5(17) |

[^1]filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the product isolated. It formed bright orange microcrystals from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield $235 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$. The methylacetylide (5a) and $p$ tolylacetylide (5c) analogues were made similarly in yields of 81 and $74 \%$, respectively.
$\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right]\left[\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}\right]$ (5d) from the platinum-silver complex. A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ (86 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.221 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added to a stirred suspension of $\left[\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2} \mathrm{AgCl}\right](550 \mathrm{mg}, 0.442$ mmol ) in acetone ( $40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The mixture became clear as the platinum-silver complex dissolved. After 40 min the acetone was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue taken up in dichloromethane, and the required product precipitated by adding light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Bright yellow-orange microcrystals. Yield $437 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$. The methylacetylide (5e) and $p$-tolylacetylide (5f) analogues were made similarly in yields of 56 and $49 \%$, respectively.

Conversion of $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}\left(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-p\right) \mathrm{Rh}-\right.$ (CO) $]\left[\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}\right]$ (5f) into the corresponding $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ Salt (5c).-A solution of the salt ( 5 ff ) ( 56 mg ) in acetone ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was treated with a solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(71 \mathrm{mg})$ in acetone (ca. 10 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ). There was an immediate white precipitate of silver chloride. The required product was isolated by evaporation of the mother-liquors and extraction into dichloromethane. Yield 39 mg . The product was shown to be identical to an authentic sample of the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ salt, prepared as above, by i.r. spectroscopy.

Conversion of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)\right]_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ into $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\right.$ $\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})]\left[\mathrm{AgCl}_{2}\right]$ (5d) in a 'One-pot' Synthesis via the Silver Complex.-A slurry was prepared from $\mathrm{AgO}_{2} \mathbf{C M e}$ ( $62.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.387 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}(42 \mu \mathrm{l})$ in acetone ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ); this was then added to a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{dppm}-\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.387 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min and then allowed to warm to ca. $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\left[\mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right](75.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.193$ mmol ) in acetone ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. A deep red solution formed immediately. The mixture was stirred at $c a .20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a further 1 h , filtered, and the product isolated by evaporation, extraction into dichloromethane, and recrystallization from dichloromethane-light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Yield 110 $\mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$. It was shown to be the required compound ( 5 d ) by its ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}$ n.m.r. spectrum; a very small amount of $\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppm}-\right.$ $\left.P P^{\prime}\right)$ ] was present as contaminant.

Crystallography.-Crystal data for $[(\mathrm{MeC} \equiv \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{Pt}(\mu-$ $\left.\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1b). $\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{50^{-}}$ $\mathrm{F}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{5} \mathrm{PtRh} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \quad M=1402.8$, orthorhombic, $\quad a=$ 19.212(7), $b=27.364(6), c=21.468(5) ~ \AA, \quad U=11286(5)$ $\AA^{3}$, space group $P b c a$ (no. 61), $Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.65 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=5552$, graphite-monochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=30.83 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Yellow-orange tablets from dichloromethane-ethanol (3:1). Crystal dimensions (distance to faces from centre): $0.345(001,00 \mathrm{~T}), 0.090(010,0 T 0)$, 0.138 (110, ГТ 0 ), 0.120 (1Т0), 0.105 ( 230 ) mm.

Crystal data for $\left[\mathrm{ClPt}(\mu-\mathrm{dppm})_{2}(\mu-\sigma, \eta-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CMe}) \mathrm{Rh}-\right.$ (CO) $] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (5a). $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{5} \mathrm{PtRh}, M=1314.3$, orthorhombic, $\quad a=43.39(1), \quad b=25.178(9), \quad c=10.164(6) \quad \AA$, $U=11104(8) \AA^{3}$, space group $P n 2_{1} a\left(a \bar{c} b\right.$ setting of $P n a 2_{1}$, no. 33), $Z=8, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.57 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad F(000)=5184$, graphitemonochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu($ Mo$\left.K_{a}\right)=30.81 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Yellow-orange broken cuboidal fragments by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a dichloromethane solution of the complex salt. Crystal dimensions ca. $0.30 \times 0.35 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Structure determinations. Cell dimensions and their standard deviations were obtained by least-squares treatment of the
setting angles for 15 reflections having $36<2 \theta<44^{\circ}$ for complex (1b) or $26<2 \theta<39^{\circ}$ for (5a). Intensities of all independent reflections ( $h, k, l, 4<2 \theta<45^{\circ}$ ) were measured on a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer in the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode using scan speeds between 2 and $29^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, and with the scans running from $1^{\circ}$ below $K_{\alpha 1}$ to $1^{\circ}$ above $K_{\alpha 2}$ for (1b) or from $0.8^{\circ}$ below $K_{\alpha 1}$ to $0.8^{\circ}$ above $K_{\alpha 2}$ for (5a). The structure analysis for complex (1b) used the 4500 reflections having $I>2.5 \sigma(I)$, leaving 1609 reflections as 'unobserved;' that for (5a) used 6320 reflections having $I>2 \sigma(I)$, leaving 904 'unobserved' reflections. Corrections were applied for Lorentz and polarization factors; absorption effects were corrected numerically for (1b) (maximum, minimum transmission factors for full data set $=$ $0.62,0.47$ ), ${ }^{16}$ and by an empirical method for (5a) (maximum, minimum absorption corrections for full data set $=1.13$, $0.79) .{ }^{17}$

Solution by Patterson and difference syntheses was followed by full-matrix least-squares refinement [in two blocks for (5a)] with anisotropic thermal parameters for $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{P}$, and Cl atoms, using the SHELX program system. ${ }^{16}$ All phenyl rings were refined as rigid groups with idealized $D_{6 h}$ geometry, the C atoms having individual isotropic thermal parameters and C-C distances of $1.395 \AA$, No H atoms were included in the final refinements, which converged at $R=0.088, R^{\prime}=\left(\Sigma w \Delta F^{2}\right)$ $\left.\Sigma w F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=0.095$ for complex (1b) and $R=0.058, R^{\prime}=0.056$ for (5a), employing the weighting scheme $w=1 /\left(\sigma^{2} F_{0}+g F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)$. The final values of $g$ [0.0011 for (1b), 0.0005 for (5a)] were chosen to give the smallest systematic variation of $w \Delta^{2}$ with the magnitude of $F_{0}$. Refinement of the alternative enantiomorphic specification for (5a) gave significantly larger $R$ factors, so the original structure was retained. The final difference maps showed no unusual features. Possible disorder in the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$ anion of (1b) was noted, but a better model was not apparent. Complex neutral-atom scattering factors were calculated from the analytical approximation and coefficients given in ref. 18. The final atomic co-ordinates for each structure are given in Tables 5 and 6.
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[^0]:    $\dagger \operatorname{Bis}\left[\mu\right.$-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane]-2-carbonyl- $\mu$-[propynyl- $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ ( Pt ) $\left.\mathrm{C}^{1-2}(\mathrm{Rh})\right]$-1-propynylplatinumrhodium hexafluorophosphate and bis $[\mu$-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane]-2-carbonyl-1-chloro- $\mu$-[pro-pynyl- $\left.C^{1}(\mathrm{Pt}) C^{1-2}(\mathrm{Rh})\right]$-platinumrhodium hexafluorophosphate.
    Supplementary data available (No. SUP 56276, 10 pp.): thermal parameters, complete bond lengths and angles. See Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, Issue 1, pp. xvii-xix. Structure factors are available from the editorial office.

[^1]:    * Co-ordinate fixed.

